

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/930,608	08/15/2001	L. Michael Maritzen	80398.P428	1723
8791	7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			LVI			
/		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/930,608	MARITZEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen A. Holzen	3644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	ulv 2004	·			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 2-7,9-13 and 20-24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
·	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmer						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E	y (PTO-413) Date			
3) Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/930,608 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant has argued that the reference do not disclose a transaction device. However Carter teaches that it is well known to use a credit card to complete the transaction. The application specification specifically uses a VISA credit card as an example of a transaction device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2-7, 9-13, 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carter (US 5,926,798). Carter discloses every aspect of the present invention. (see Non-Final Action mailed on 2/3/2004). Further it should be appreciated that Carter discloses the use of Credit Cards (Transaction Devices as exampled in the applicants specification page 9, line 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

7

Application/Control Number: 09/930,608

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 2-7, 9-13, 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter in view of "Tryllian Mobile Agents: Going beyond the Web" (herein referred to as "Tryllian"). Carter discloses the claimed computer-implemented method in which at least one intelligent agent negotiates the purchase of a product or a service on behalf of a party (see Col. 2, lines 65-Col. 3, line 30) except for the personal data selected from the group consisting of "historical purchases activity, potential future purchase activity, and a group to which the party is a member. However the above claimed personal data are all well known in the art to be useful in price negotiation. (Sam's Club is one example of the above claimed subject matter). It would have been obvious to reward to purchaser with better prices based on group memberships and potential future purchase activity. (Note: it should be appreciated that purchasing through an agent is inherently anonymous). Tryllian teaches that it is well known and old in the art to use a transaction device. (see page 8, lines 11-14 "Secure Lines". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the transaction device of Tryllian in the system of Carter for increased convenience of purchasing.

Re - Claims 2, 9, 20: using the agent to reduce a price (see col. 2, lines 67).

Re - Claims 3, 10, 21: It is old and well known in the art that a purchaser must register with an online purchaser before purchasing.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,608

Art Unit: 3644

Re - Claim 4, 11, 22: Carter discloses that it is known to perform data mining operation related to the purchase of one of the product and the service.

Page 4

(See Col. 3, lines 1-30)

Re - Claim 5, 12, 23: It is well known in the art that a secure link must be established between a client and server during the transfer of sensitive information (i.e. Credit Card, Contact information)

Re - Claim 7: Carter discloses that it is well known to do a price comparison between two suppliers. (see Col. 3, lines 1-30)

### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 703-308-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

TERI P. LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER